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Introduction

Why This is Important

- As of 2005, 1.6 million people were living with limb loss, with this number projected to double by the year 2050\textsuperscript{1}.
- Treadmill and overground walking are used to quantitatively characterize gait in individuals with lower limb amputation.

What is Known

- In healthy adults, peak vertical ground reaction force (GRF) is greater during overground walking than during treadmill walking\textsuperscript{2}.
- Patients with transfemoral amputation have greater vertical and anterior/posterior GRF in their sound limb compared to their prosthetic limb\textsuperscript{3}.

What is Unknown

- Are GRF data collected during treadmill walking representative of GRF data collected during overground walking in individuals with transfemoral amputation?

Aim

- Aim: Compare overground and treadmill GRF in subjects with transfemoral amputation

Hypotheses

- GRF is greater on the sound side during overground and treadmill walking.
- GRF is greater during overground compared to treadmill walking.

Methods

Subjects

- 11 Individuals with transfemoral amputation (age 24 to 63 years; weight 59 to 130 kg, height 1.6 to 1.9 m).

Data Collection

- 10 overground and 2 to 10 treadmill walking trials were collected for each participant.
- Overground: Participants walked across a 7 m lab walkway, taking 1 to 3 steps on an instrumented treadmill (Bertec Corp.) at the midpoint each trial.
- Treadmill: Walking speed was set to match overground speed. 9 to 12 steps were recorded per trial.
- GRF collected at 1000 Hz for all trials.

Data Processing

- GRF data was filtered using a 4\textsuperscript{th} order Butterworth filter with a 20Hz cutoff frequency.
- GRF data were normalized to body weight (%BW).
- Heel strike and toe off were identified using a threshold of 50N vertical GRF.
- A validated volumetric model-based tracking technique\textsuperscript{4} was used to match the subject-specific bone models to the biplane radiographs with a precision of 1.2° and 0.5 mm (Figure 1).
- GRF variables were averaged over all trials for each subject.

Data Analysis

- Outcome variables were AP, ML and vertical GRF peaks and impulses (area under the curve).
- A paired t-test was performed to compare prosthetic and sound limb outcomes as well as walking surface for each GRF parameter.
- Significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

- Sound side loading was greater than prosthetic side loading during overground and treadmill walking (Figures 1, 2).
- GRF parameters were greater during overground compared to treadmill walking (Figure 1, 2).

Discussion

- Our first hypothesis was supported: GRF is greater on the sound side.
- This confirms that individuals who have an amputation favor loading their sound limb during overground and treadmill gait.
- Our second hypothesis was supported: GRF is greater during overground walking.

Clinical Significance

- Given the differences in GRF between overground and treadmill gait, clinicians should be wary of evaluating kinetics, socket fit, and alignment during treadmill walking.
- Researchers need to be aware of limitations associate with testing persons with an amputation during treadmill walking.
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